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## Bis[aquabis(1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dionato$\kappa^{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ )dioxouranium( VI )] dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6-ether chloroform disolvate

In the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}$. (dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6) $2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ $2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, where DBM is 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dionate, the $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{VI}}$ atom is coordinated by seven O atoms to give a distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry. The structure is centrosymmetric. The 18-crown-6 molecule is hydrogen bonded $(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O})$ by the water molecule of $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$, and these binuclear units are interconnected by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions.

## Comment

An enhancement in the solvent-extraction separation of the uranium(VI) ion from nitric acid medium using mixtures of $\beta$-diketones and crown ethers has been reported (Mathur \& Choppin, 1993). The species responsible for this extraction have been isolated in the solid state and have been characterized by spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction methods (Kannan et al., 2001). The structures of the compounds $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2} \cdot$ (benzo-15-crown-5), (1), and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{UO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.\right.$ (TTA) $\left.)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (benzo-18-crown-6)], (2) (TTA is the anion of 1-trifluoromethyl-3-thiophenyl-1,3-propanedione; Kannan et al., 2001), showed that the nature of compound formed in the solid state is changed when the nature of the crown ether is changed for a given uranyl bis( $\beta$-diketonate). For example, in compound (1), the benzo-15-crown-5 molecule acts as a second-sphere ligand and bridges two $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ moieties through hydrogen bonds. However, in compound (2), the benzo-18-crown-6 molecule acts as a third-sphere ligand and bridges two dinuclear $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}$ moieties through hydrogen bonds. This observation prompted us to study the nature of compounds formed in the solid state when the nature of $\beta$-ketonate is changed. As part of our systematic work on synergistic compounds of uranyl bis $(\beta$ diketonates) with neutral donor ligands (Kannan \& Venugopal, 1995; Kannan, Venugopal, Pillai, Droege \& Barnes, 1996; Kannan, Venugopal, Pillai, Droege, Barnes \& Schlember, 1996; Kannan \& Ferguson, 1997; Kannan, 2000; Kannan et al., 1997, 2000, 2001), we report the structure of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2} \text { (diphenylpropane-1,3-dionanto- } O, O^{\prime}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2} \cdot\left(\right.$ dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6) $2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, (I).

(I)

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains one $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ] unit, half a dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6 molecule and one
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Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of compound (I), showing $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The symmetry code is as in Table 2.
$\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecule. The remaining portion of the title compound are generated by an inversion center. The uranium(VI) ion is surrounded by seven O atoms, viz. four O atoms of the DBM ligand, two uranyl O atoms, and the O atom of a water molecule, to give a distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry. The four O atoms from the DBM ligand ( $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3$ and O 4 ) and atom $\mathrm{O} 1 W$ of the water molecule form a planar pentagon with a maximum deviation of 0.069 (4) $\AA$ for O1, while the two uranyl O atoms occupy the apices. The U atom is displaced by 0.0338 (2) $\AA$ from the pentagonal plane. The two $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {uranyl }}$ distances are 1.764 (4) and 1.771 (4) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {diketonate }}$ distances range from 2.312 (4) to 2.356 (4) $\AA ; \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }}$ is 2.465 (4) $\AA$. These values are within acceptable ranges, and agree with the values reported earlier (Kannan, Venugopal, Pillai, Droege \& Barnes, 1996; Kannan et al., 1997, 2001; Kannan \& Ferguson, 1997).

The configuration of the two phenyl rings in the diphenyl-propane-1,3-dionate (DBM) systems are different, corresponding to dihedral angles of 28.82 (4) and 60.2 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ between the phenyl rings in the two DBM systems. In the dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6 moiety, the cyclohexyl ring adopts a chair conformation, with puckering parameters (Cremer \& Pople, 1975) $Q_{2}=0.048$ (7) $\AA, Q_{3}=-0.552$ (7) $\AA, Q_{T}=0.555$ (7) $\AA$ and $\theta=174.9(7)^{\circ}$.

The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {water }} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {crown }}$ hydrogen bonds involving the crown atoms O 8 and O 9 link the crown ether with two $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ units,; this is similar to that observed in the compound (1), while the two $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ units are linked by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {uranyl }}$ hydrogen bonds. The chloroform molecules are $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonded to the uranyl O atom (Table 2).

## Experimental

Stoichiometric amounts of $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{DBM})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ and dicyclohexyl18 -crown-6 were refluxed in chloroform ( 25 ml ) for 15 min . The volume of the solution was reduced to 5 ml and was then layered with toluene ( 2 ml ). The solution, on slow evaporation, yielded the title complex in $75 \%$ yield. The product was recrystallized from a chloroform-toluene mixture and was indentified by IR, ${ }^{1}$ NMR and elemental analyses.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$--
$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
$M_{r}=2080.27$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1 / c} c$
$a=15.5427$ (1) $\AA$
$b=15.2483$ (1) $\AA$
$c=19.4582(2) \AA$
$\beta=107.643$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=4394.67(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
Data collection
Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.650, T_{\text {max }}=0.744$
26358 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.046$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.116$
$S=0.95$
10599 reflections
496 parameters
$D_{x}=1.572 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 8192 reflections
$\theta=2.6-28.3^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.93 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=183$ (2) K
Block, orange
$0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$

10599 independent reflections
7581 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.096$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.3^{\circ}$
$h=-20 \rightarrow 20$
$k=-20 \rightarrow 16$
$l=-25 \rightarrow 21$

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0272 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.57 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-4.23 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| U1-O5 | $1.764(4)$ | U1-O4 | $2.348(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| U1-O6 | $1.771(4)$ | U1-O3 | $2.356(4)$ |
| U1-O2 | $2.312(4)$ | U1-O1 $W$ | $2.465(4)$ |
| U1-O1 | $2.340(4)$ |  |  |
| O5-U1-O6 | $179.44(19)$ | O6-U1-O3 | $89.34(16)$ |
| O5-U1-O2 | $89.11(16)$ | O2-U1-O3 | $73.28(13)$ |
| O6-U1-O2 | $90.43(16)$ | O1-U1-O3 | $144.03(13)$ |
| O5-U1-O1 | $93.38(16)$ | O4-U1-O3 | $70.28(13)$ |
| O6-U1-O1 | $86.16(15)$ | O5-U1-O1 $W$ | $90.22(16)$ |
| O2-U1-O1 | $71.09(13)$ | O6-U1-O1 $W$ | $89.95(15)$ |
| O5-U1-O4 | $90.64(16)$ | O2-U1-O1 $W$ | $142.61(13)$ |
| O6-U1-O4 | $89.93(16)$ | O1-U1-O1 $W$ | $71.64(13)$ |
| O2-U1-O4 | $143.55(13)$ | O4-U1-O1 $W$ | $73.84(13)$ |
| O1-U1-O4 | $145.24(13)$ | O3-U1-O1 $W$ | $144.11(13)$ |
| O5-U1-O3 | $90.83(17)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 1 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.82 | 2.06 | 2.814 (6) | 152 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 2 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 9$ | 0.84 | 1.96 | 2.775 (5) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 9$ | 0.93 | 2.48 | 3.319 (8) | 150 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.50 | 3.410 (8) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{6}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.55 | 3.467 (8) | 167 |
| C41-H41 . ${ }^{\text {O6 }}$ | 0.98 | 2.30 | 3.153 (10) | 145 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$; (ii) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$.

All H atoms were geometrically placed in ideal positions and allowed to ride on their attached parent atoms with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances in the range $0.93-0.98 \AA$. The range of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances is $0.82-0.84 \AA$. At this stage, the refinement converged to an $R$ value of 0.054 ( $w R=$ 0.167 ). However, the difference map showed three peaks (4.11, 3.95 and $1.63 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ ) around the inversion centre ( $1 / 2,0,1 / 2$ ). A search for solvent-accessible voids in the crystal, using PLATON (Spek, 1990) showed a potential solvent volume of $197 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$. Since attempts to refine the structure with a rational solvent model failed, the SQUEEZE procedure in PLATON was used to obtain solvent-free reflection data. Further refinement of the structure with the solventfree reflection data converged to an $R$ value of $0.046(w R=0.111)$. The highest peak and the deepest hole were 0.64 and $0.92 \AA$ from atom U1.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).
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